

Planning Team Report

Dungog LEP 2014 – I	Minor heritage amendments				
Proposal Title :	Dungog LEP 2014 – Minor heritage amendments				
Proposal Summary :	The planning proposal seeks to amend Schedule 5 Environmental Heritage and the associated Heritage map.				
PP Number :	PP_2015_DUNGO_001_00	Dop File No :	15/16020		
Proposal Details					
Date Planning Proposal Received :	29-Oct-2015	LGA covered :	Dungog		
Region :	Hunter	RPA :	Dungog Shire Council		
State Electorate :	UPPER HUNTER	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal		
LEP Type :	Housekeeping				
Location Details					
Street : Nur	nerous address				
Suburb :	City :		Postcode :		
Land Parcel : Nur	nerous addresses				
DoP Planning Offic	cer Contact Details				
Contact Name :	Paul Maher				
Contact Number :	0249042719				
Contact Email :	paul.maher@planning.nsw.gov.au				
RPA Contact Detai	ls				
Contact Name :	Kristy Cousins				
Contact Number :	0249957753				
Contact Email :	KristyC@dungog.nsw.gov.au				
DoP Project Manag	ger Contact Details				
Contact Name :					
Contact Number :					
Contact Email :					
Land Release Data					
Growth Centre :		Release Area Name :			
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :		Consistent with Strategy :			

a - - - -

×.

Dungog LEP 2014 – M	inor heritage amendments			
MDP Number :		Date of Release :		
Area of Release (Ha) :		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :		
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0	
Gross Floor Area	0	No of Jobs Created	0	
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes			
If No, comment				
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	Νο			
If Yes, comment :				
Supporting notes				
Internal Supporting Notes :				
External Supporting Notes :				
dequacy Assessmen Statement of the obj				
Is a statement of the ob	jectives provided? Yes			
Comment :	The objective of the Planning Proposal is to update and make corrections to Schedule 5 Environmental Heritage including property title descriptions, address changes and to correct mapping errors on associated maps.			
Explanation of prov	isions provided - s55(2)(b)			
Is an explanation of pro	visions provided? Yes			
Comment :	The proposal will correct and update property details that have changed in relation to heritage items as well as correct mapping of heritage items. A description of inaccurate property details and justification for the proposed corrections is contained in Appendix 1 of the Planning Proposal. There are 76 proposed changes to Schedule 5 Environmental Heritage of Dungog LEP 2014 which facilitate these corrections and these are contained in Appendix 2.			
	Appendix 3 contains 44 proposed changes to the Heritage maps which in many cases are linked to corrections to property details in Schedule 5.			
	The explanation of provision LEP 2014.	ns is adequate as it provides	the paramet	ers to amend Dungog

.

10

.

*) 4 3

Justification - s55	(2)(c)			
a) Has Council's strat	egy been agreed to by the D	Director General? No		
b) S.117 directions id	entified by RPA :	2.3 Heritage Conservation		
* May need the Direc	tor General's agreement	6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements		
Is the Director Ger	neral's agreement required?	No		
c) Consistent with Sta	andard Instrument (LEPs) Or	der 2006 : Yes		
d) Which SEPPs have	e the RPA identified?	SEPP (Exempt and Complying Development Codes) 2008		
e) List any other matters that need to be considered :				
Have inconsistencies	with items a), b) and d) bein	g adequately justified? N/A		
If No, explain :	have an impact on l identification has a and complying dev the Codes SEPP as	omplying Development Codes) 2008 – the Planning Proposal will how the Codes SEPP can be applied to sites where heritage ltered in Schedule 5 or on the Heritage map. This will effect exempt elopment on these sites. The Planning Proposal is consistent with it correctly applies heritage restrictions to exempt and complying and containing heritage items.		
	S117 Directions			
	complies with claus	2.3 Heritage Conservation – the Planning Proposal is consistent with Direction 2.3 as it complies with clause 4 by protecting heritage items through ensuring the property description of each items accurately reflects its location.		
	Direction 6.1 as it d heritage item has b	6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements - the Planning Proposal is consistent with Direction 6.1 as it does not require further consultation with public authorities. No local heritage item has been upgraded to a State item requiring referral to the Heritage Division of the Office of Environment and Heritage.		
	No agreement of the	e Secretary's delegate is required.		
Apping Provided	- s55(2)(d)	*		
Is mapping provided?				
Comment :		led is adequate for public exhibition however Standard LEP maps LEP publication.		
Community consu	Itation - s55(2)(e)			
Has community consu	ultation been proposed? Yes			
Comment :	Council has proposed a 14 day consultation period. This is considered appropriate given the proposal is considered to be administrative in nature and has 'low impact' implications.			
Additional Directo	r General's requiremer	nts		
Are there any additior	al Director General's require	ements? Yes		
If Yes, reasons :	PROJECT TIMELINE			
	months after the Ga	ominates the Planning Proposal's completion within seven (8) teway Determination. It is considered that a nine (9) month ne is appropriate which requires the Planning Proposal to be		

completed by August 2016.

.

* - - - - - S

* * *

× 1 1

DELEGATION AUTHORISATION

Council resolved that it was not in a position to accept delegations for making LEPs in 2012 and therefore LEP delegations are not sought for this Planning Proposal.

It is recommended that authority to exercise delegations not be granted as Council was not issued with this authority in the first instance.

Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment :

Proposal Assessment

Principal LEP:

Due Date :

Dungog Standard Instrument was published 30 May 2014. Comments in relation to Principal LEP :

Assessment Criteria

Need for planning proposal :	The planning proposal is the result of Dungog Heritage Study 1988 which was later reviewed in 2014 as part of the Standard Instrument process. It was determined through this process that the anomalies in Schedule 5 should be resolved as a separate Planning Proposal as it was thought that a conversion of the previous instrument to LEP 2014 was the most expedient course of action.
	A planning proposal is considered the most effective and timely method available to implement this objective.
Consistency with	LOWER HUNTER REGIONAL STRATEGY 2006 (LHRS) does not apply to the Dungog LGA.
strategic planning framework :	UPPER HUNTER STRATEGIC REGIONAL LAND USE PLAN 2012 (UHSRLUP)
	The Planning Proposal is consistent with the UHSRLUP as it improves protection against impacts to cultural heritage. The PP also addresses one of the challenges for cultural heritage in the Upper Hunter which is that the current identification and protection of both Aboriginal and historic cultural heritage within the region is not comprehensive, as it is reliant on in some instances incomplete data sets.
	The review of the Dungog Heritage Study 1988 improved the data set available to Council and its inclusion in Schedule 5 will ensure greater protection of heritage items.
Environmental social economic impacts :	ENVIRONMENTAL There are no known environmental impacts as a result of the proposed changes to Schedule 5 and the Heritage Map.
	HERITAGE The proposal will increase the protection of listed heritage items by way of mapping and describing them more accurately in Dungog LEP 2014. It is recommended that the matter be referred to the Heritage Division of the Office of Environment and Heritage as there are changes to State Heritage items in Schedule 5.
	ECONOMIC & SOCIAL There are potential positive economic impacts for the community as the Planning Proposal will reinforce the heritage values of Dungog and surrounding towns and villages that forms

part of the attraction to the place to visit and live. There are also positive social benefits to

ž	

	الأشار ويعتر الشريح				
			l heritage of the area has the e nd contributes to the cultural	-	-
Assessment Process	5		r.		
Proposal type :	Minor		Community Consultation Period :	14 Days	
Timeframe to make LEP :	9 months	*	Delegation :	Nil	
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d)	Office of Environm	ent and Her	ritage		
Is Public Hearing by the	PAC required?	No			
(2)(a) Should the matter	proceed ?	Yes			
If no, provide reasons :					
Resubmission - s56(2)(b)): No				0
If Yes, reasons :					
Identify any additional st	udies, if required.				
If Other, provide reasons	s :				
Identify any internal cons	sultations, if required	:			
No internal consultation	n required				
Is the provision and fund	ling of state infrastruc	ture relevan	it to this plan? No		
If Yes, reasons :					
cuments					
Document File Name			DocumentType Na	ame	Is Public
Dungog letter.pdf Dungog Planning Proposal Sch 5 Heritage.pdf		Proposal Coverin Proposal	g Letter	Yes Yes	
Inning Team Recom	mendation				
Preparation of the planni	ing proposal supporte	ed at this sta	age : Recommended with Con	ditions	
S.117 directions:	17 directions: 2.3 Heritage Conservation 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements				
Additional Information :					

Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 ("EP&A Act") as follows: (a) the planning proposal is classified as low impact as described in A Guide to Preparing LEPs (Department of Planning & Infrastructure 2013) and must be made publicly available for a minimum of 14 days; and

(b) the relevant planning authority must comply with the notice requirements for public

J 2

1990 - 1990 - 1990 - 1990 - 1990 - 1990 - 1990 - 1990 - 1990 - 1990 - 1990 - 1990 - 1990 - 1990 - 1990 - 1990 -

-

Dungog LEP 2014 – Mir	nor heritage amendments		
	exhibition of planning proposals and the specifications for material that must be made publicly available along with planning proposals as identified in section 5.5.2 of A Guide to Preparing LEPs (Department of Planning & Infrastructure 2013).		
	 Consultation is required with the following public authority under section 56(2)(d) of the EP&A Act: Heritage Division of the Office of Environment and Heritage. 		
	3. A public hearing is not required to be held into the matter by any person or body under section 56(2)(e) of the EP&A Act. This does not discharge Council from any obligation it may otherwise have to conduct a public hearing (for example, in response to a submission or if reclassifying land).		
Supporting Reasons :	 The timeframe for completing the LEP is to be 9 months. The PP will provide more protection for existing Heritage Items in Dungog LEP 2014 Schedule 5. 		
Signature:	lolla		
Printed Name:	Kaflaherty Date: 13/11/15.		

.

- 1 A C 2011년 1월 1월